

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/509,541	01/26/2005	Paul Robinson	102792-349/10995P1	5688
27389 NODDIS MCI	7590 02/07/2008 AUGHUN & MARCUS		EXAMINER	
NORRIS, MCLAUGHLIN & MARCUS 875 THIRD AVE			NGUYEN, DINH Q	
18TH FLOOR NEW YORK, 1	NY 10022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
112			3752	
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/509,541	ROBINSON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dinh Q. Nguyen	3752
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	<u> </u>	
	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.E). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	•	
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 5-14 and 16 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are witho 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 5-14 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyang tection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No I received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/509,541

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 5-14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Channer (U.S. Patent No. 7,036,747) in view of Young.

Channer teaches all the limitations of the claims except for the base with a substantially wedge shaped cross section. However, Young discloses a display base with inclined or dovetail-shaped claiming surfaces for securing a display subject.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill the art to have provided the device of Channer with a display base as suggested by Young. Doing so would provide a stable supporting base (see column 1, lines 5+). Furthermore, to configure the Young's base with a substantially wedge-shaped cross section would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art, because Application has not disclosed that the base with a substantially wedge-shaped cross section provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with either the claimed base configuration or the Young's base configuration. Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the device of Young to obtain the invention as specified in the claims.

Art Unit: 3752

3. Claims 5-14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Leary (U.S. Patent No. 6,631,852) in view of Young.

O'Leary teaches all the limitations of the claims except for the base with a substantially wedge shaped cross section. However, Young discloses a display base with inclined or dovetail-shaped claiming surfaces for securing a display subject.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill the art to have provided the device of O'Leary with a display base as suggested by Young. Doing so would provide a stable supporting base (see column 1, lines 5+). Furthermore, to configure the Young's base with a substantially wedge-shaped cross section would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art, because Application has not disclosed that the base with a substantially wedge-shaped cross section provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with either the claimed base configuration or the Young's base configuration. Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the device of Young to obtain the invention as specified in the claims.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 8/24/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 5-14 and 16 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/509,541

Art Unit: 3752

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 6. examiner should be directed to Dinh Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4907. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Dinh Q Nauven Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3752